

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Amazon.com Services

Employer

and

Case 03-RC-301507

Amazon Labor Union (ALU)

Petitioner

REPORT ON INVESTIGATION OF INTEREST

The undersigned agent of the National Labor Relations Board has investigated the evidence of representation submitted by the Petitioner and/or labor organization(s) claiming an interest in the above case. The statistical results of this investigation are set forth below.

1. The following organizations were requested in writing on the indicated dates to submit evidence of representation, if any, but have failed to do so. <i>If none, so state.</i>													
Name and Affiliation of Labor Organization				Date of Request									
None				N/A									
Mark either 2a or 2b, as applicable.													
2a.	X	Designation and payroll information pertaining to the unit claimed appropriate by the labor organization listed in the first column according to a <u>Complete</u> <u>X</u> <u>Spot</u> check of the Employer's payroll for the period ending <u>08/12/2022</u> .											
2b.		Although requested, no payroll list submitted.											
Name of Union/Petitioner (Abbreviate)	Type of Unit Claimed Appropriate	# Employees in Unit	% of names in unit on payroll list among the timely designations submitted by Union/Petitioner OR % of employees in unit based on "No. of Employees in Unit" listed on the face of the petition. Indicate Category 1 (Less than 10%), Category 2 (10-29.9%), or 3 (30% or above). <i>If interest is based on contract, so state.</i>										
A Amazon Labor Union (ALU)	As listed in petition	400 (as listed in petition)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Union A/Petitioner</td> <td>Category</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Designations are current:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>X</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table>		Union A/Petitioner	Category	3	Designations are current:			Yes	X	No
Union A/Petitioner	Category	3											
Designations are current:													
Yes	X	No											
B			<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Union B</td> <td>Category</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Designations are current:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table>		Union B	Category		Designations are current:			Yes		No
Union B	Category												
Designations are current:													
Yes		No											
C			<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Union C</td> <td>Category</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Designations are current:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table>		Union C	Category		Designations are current:			Yes		No
Union C	Category												
Designations are current:													
Yes		No											
3. Unit(s), different from those set forth above, the Employer contends appropriate.													
Type of Unit Claimed Appropriate	# Employees in Unit	Union A	Union B	Union C									
No dispute raised regarding the unit (per ER counsel's email from Mon 8/22/2022 @5:02 PM, "The only job classifications covered by the Petition are "FC Associate I" and "FC Associate III." These two positions do not	819 per ADP payroll list submitted by Employer on 8/22/22	Category: 3	Category:	Category:									

include any employees in the Petitioner's list of exclusions.")				
---	--	--	--	--

*Date:* August 26, 2022 *Agent Name:* Ruth Basantes